

ID.35 Transcript of Sheila Nock

From: Sheila Nock <>

Sent: 07 March 2023 02:34

To: Skinner, Helen <HELEN.SKINNER@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: Lea Castle Farm Quarry Public Enquiry - Sheila Nock

NOISE

DUST & AIR QUALITY

LIGHT POLLUTION

LIAM TOLANDS PROOF OF EVIDENCE

NOISE MS R CANHAM WBM - Proof of Evidence

The report of Proof of Evidence on noise for the proposed site -Lea Castle Farm Quarry presented by Ms R Canham, after reading her report it has made me feel very uneasy and something does not sit well with her findings.

I would like clarification on how Ms Canham came to her findings and was confident enough to use it as her Proof of Evidence.

1) How did Ms Canham calculate noise levels for a proposed Sand and Gravel site that's still a green belt. No matter how qualified and letters after your name she has she still would have had to make assumptions and there is room for error.

However from her Proof of Evidence she has missed out some vital information which will affect her calculations on noise levels.

1) In her Proof of Evidence she never once factored in the Lay of the Land which does affect the noise level being carried across the village.

True Fact - I have land 2 1/2 miles the other side of the village and from my land I can hear the back beepers of the lorries, you can hear the children playing happily in the playground, when the Sports Club on Lea Lane is having a social event you can dance to the music playing quite easily, and if the wind is blowing in the right direction you can smell the chips from the chip shop.

Ms R Canham in her Proof of Evidence said the construction noise to the Proposed site could reach maximum level at times but would still stay within the legal requirement, however what she failed to mention was she needed to add the level of the construction to the noise from all the lorries that would be going on and off site all day.

Ms R Canham said in her Proof of Evidence that the housing development would be noisier than the proposed Quarry but what she failed to take into account was add the noise from the housing development with the noise from the proposed quarry site together with the noise we already have would make it quite unbearable over a period of time,

Noise from the village at the moment

4/5 delivery lorries to Tesco per day

2 dray lorries per week

Delivery lorry's to the chip shop

Then there are the big heavy lorries that come and go to the Wheelright each day

And of course people who need to go to work

This is not a complaint but a fact as people need to make a living but a noise factor that needs to be added to your proposed quarry noise levels.

Also what is Ms R Canham predicted noise levels in decibels and times that by 10/11 years. Also in Ms R Canham proof of Evidence she failed to mention if the lorries that were proposed for the proposed quarry were

6 wheelers

8 wheelers

Dumper trucks

Euro 6

What would the kerb weight be on each lorry so she would be able to calculate noise levels, Ms R Canham Proof of Evidences was presented by technology and a human which can leave room for error, no matter how you dress it up.

If this should be the case then Stop the Quarry should be aloud to submit as part of their proof of Evidence the ChatGPT Artificial Intelligence which wrote a well presented report on why a sand and gravel quarry should never be aloud so close to schools, believe me the report is very damming towards the Proof of Evidence that has been presented by your experts in thid case.

DUST & AIR QUALITY Ms Hawkins -Proof of Evidence

Again this poof of evidence from Ms Hawkins leaves room for errors: again I am mystified on how you can measure dust and air quality for a proposed quarry in green belt and to be asked to take this proof of evidence as fact. Again there must be some sort of technology with a human there to calculate figures but again there is room for error and a certain assumption is added to the report.

Now hears the worrying part again Ms Hawkins has failed to take into the lay of the land and no matter how big their buns are or how big their perimeter fence is air is like water you won't stop it. Again as a matter of proof I will point out to you that my land is 2 1/2 miles away and if the noise and smells can reach then the particles of air dust can also reach me and further. We are on sandy soil and when it's hot it gets very very dusty.

There are five schools near to where the proposed quarry wants to be and this is very frightening for the parents , regarding the inhalation of dust including silica 12,000 deaths a year in the UK.Silica is a natural substance found in varying amounts in most

ROCK SAND (re google) and Ms Hawkins is telling us no worries.

LIAM TOLAND Proof of Evidence

Where do I begin to start with this chaps Proof of Evidence I will just list a few that shows it's not worth my time to peruse.

Page 16 68 **green belt is not harmed by such a development** because the fact that the use has to take place there and it's duration and reversibility and the affect on the green belt. *What does that even mean No harm to the green belt (we have just robbed you of 1000 of years of minerals taken away every thing we can use fill you up with waste but you will be fine*

The development will provide betterment (for whom and who's pocket)

Page 9 Greenbelt development would not affect the openness to an extent it would tip the balance to make it inappropriate. ***words fail me on this statement***

My favourite- However without this site there will be a significant deficit in the local economy based on annual costs incurred at present

(Liam Cookley people have done good over the last 200years without your interference)

Parkland page 25 Kedd Limited

Insert waste disposal What is your proposed plan of action to combat smells, vermin fuel pollution and so on .

High quality parkland settings provide opportunities for leisure recreation and enjoyment for the local communities, and help towards climate change.

Mr Kedd Limited we have already got these facilities at present which the local community enjoy without you trying to sell us. You forget that you are asking the local community to go through a minimum of 10 years of hell, and you think it's going to help towards climate change have you forgot sir that the first step towards climate change is the quarry industry so if you think a few little trees and a shamble of a landscape is going to save the world there's no hope.

CONCLUSION

As our Greenbelt is slowly being taken away by developers and Quarries

I think of Greenbelt as agriculture land to grow crops to feed ourselves and animals to keep our wildlife and bees.

With climate change has a hobby farmer I see it coming faster each year and with the war in Ukrainian which was the breadbasket of Europe and this war will not end well for anyone, we should be saving preserving every inch of land possible.

In this report I have read time and time again we need the sand and gravel we need the minerals well think again we need the agricultural land to feed our country.

I can honestly say how the Native American Red Indians must have felt when the white greedy man invaded their country and took everything they had and believed in.

You can tell what the future holds, with the decisions you make today.

Sheila Nock