National Productivity Investment Fund for the Local Road Network Application Form



The level of information provided should be proportionate to the size and complexity of the project proposed. As a guide, for a small project we would suggest around 10 -15 pages including annexes would be appropriate.

One application form should be completed per project and will constitute a bid. **Applicant Information**

Local authority name(s)*: Worcestershire County Council

*If the bid is for a joint project, please enter the names of all participating local authorities and specify the <u>lead</u> authority.

Bid Manager Name and position: Nick Churchill, Worcestershire Network Efficiency Programme Manager

Name and position of officer with day to day responsibility for delivering the proposed project.

Contact telephone number: 01905 843463 Email address: <u>nchurchill@worcestershire.gov.uk</u>

Postal address: County Hall, Spetchley Road, Worcester, WR5 2NP

Combined Authorities

If the bid is from an authority within a Combined Authority, please specify the contact, ensure that the Combined Authority has provided a note ranking multiple applications, and append a copy to this bid.

Name and position of Combined Authority Bid Co-ordinator: N/A

Contact telephone number:

Email address:

Postal address:

When authorities submit a bid for funding to the Department, as part of the Government's commitment to greater openness in the public sector under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004, they must also publish a version excluding any commercially sensitive information on their own website within two working days of submitting the final bid to the Department. The Department reserves the right to deem the business case as non-compliant if this is not adhered to.

Please specify the weblink where this bid will be published: www.worcestershire.gov.uk/npif A1. Project name: Worcester City Centre Network Efficiency: Axis West East

A2: Please enter a brief description of the proposed project (no more than 50 words)

This ambitious project seeks to improve significantly City Centre vitality and development potential by reducing congestion, improving efficiency and the environment of several junctions. This will be achieved through investment in smart technology and sustainable measures. These measures will target outdated infrastructure, design and pedestrian/cycle facilities by applying new design standards, equipment and methods of control.

A3: Please provide a short description of area covered by the bid (no more than 50 words)

The area covers Worcester City centre west-east axis along the A44 corridor. These include the Dolday Gyratory, Sidbury/Commandery and St Johns junctions. This is the main arterial route through Worcester and the only crossing point of the River Severn within the city centre. It also includes a strategic pedestrian crossing on the A449 Croft Road.

OS Grid Reference: **SO 84644 54786** Postcode: WR1 3NY

Please append a map showing the location (and route) of the project, existing transport infrastructure and other points of particular relevance to the bid, e.g. housing and other development sites, employment areas, air quality management areas, constraints etc.

The map clearly outlining the location of the project is presented in Appendix 1.

| A4. How much funding are you bidding for? (please tick the relevant box) | : |
|--|-------------|
| Small project bids (requiring DfT funding of between £2m and £5m) | \boxtimes |
| Large project bids (requiring DfT funding of between £5m and £10m) | |

A5. Has any Equality Analysis been undertaken in line with the Equality Duty? ∑ Yes □ No

A6. If you are planning to work with partnership bodies on this project (such as Development Corporations, National Parks Authorities, private sector bodies and transport operators) please include a short description below of how they will be involved.

None, although we will liaise with a range of public and private sector bodies to ensure that the benefits of this proposed investment are fully realised.

A7. Combined Authority (CA) Involvement

Have you appended a letter from the Combined Authority supporting this bid? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🖂 No

A8. Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) Involvement and support for housing delivery

| Have you appended a letter from the LEP supporting this bid? $oxed{eq}$ Yes | No |
|---|---------------------|
| For proposed projects which encourage the delivery of housing, have you evidence from the housebuilder/developer? | appended supporting |

B1: Project Summary

Please select what the project is trying to achieve (select all categories that apply)

Essential

 \boxtimes Ease urban congestion

 \boxtimes Unlock economic growth and job creation opportunities

Enable the delivery of housing development

Desirable

Improve Air Quality and /or Reduce CO2 emissions

 \boxtimes Incentivising skills and apprentices

Other(s), Please specify – Environmental impacts (Air Quality, emission reduction, landscape, townscape and historic environment), Social impacts (Health, accidents, security, access, commuting, affordability) **as identified in the Appraisal Summary Table (see Appendix 2).**

B2 : Please provide evidence on the following questions (max 100 words for each question):

a) What is the problem that is being addressed?

The health of the main arterial west-east A44 corridor through Worcester is essential for access, growth and vitality of the City Centre. It is constrained by one river crossing, outdated junction designs and poor facilities for pedestrians and cyclists. The Worcester Traffic Model confirms significant delays, for which reference case times are given in Appendix 3. There are two AQMAs at St Johns (2014) and Dolday (2009) either side of the bridge, and Sidbury is expected to be an AQMA in the future. Two junctions have been identified for traffic signal upgrades through the Worcester Asset Management plan.

b) What options have been considered and why have alternatives been rejected? The status-quo will result in persisting congestion in/around Worcester City Centre, Worcestershire's economic engine. Equally, depressed levels of sustainable active travel modes within the immediate context area will continue, which further accentuates congestion. Further, failure to increase capacity within the City Centre will restrict it from achieving its full potential.

Alternative-1: This requires route/junction realignments, which can deliver marginally greater benefits than the preferred solution. However, this would include considerably higher costs and lead to expansion of the transport area. It also requires land acquisitions through CPO procedures. This diminishes the deliverability and value for money for this option.

For the reasons outlined above, both options have been discounted. (Note: In parallel, WCC are also developing more substantial interventions as part of the emerging City Centre Masterplan. These interventions will be complementary to the preferred option. In particular, the preferred option forms part of the Stage 1 measures for the City Centre's long term transport strategy being developed under the umbrella of the emerging Masterplan. The preferred option and other complementary interventions will provide additional capacity to enable the City Centre to achieve its long term economic and development potential.)

c) What are the expected benefits/outcomes? For example, could include easing urban congestion, job creation, enabling a number of new dwellings, facilitating increased GVA.

The package will deliver significant reduction in congestion on Worcester's west-east axis. Reduced congestion with increase in active-travel modes, will create additional capacity on the City Centre's highway network. This will lead to significant TEE-user impacts and physical activity benefits.

It will facilitate the delivery of the emerging City Centre Masterplan, with other measures, to maximise the City Centre's potential by intensifying development. No further development can be delivered within the City Centre in the absence of any capacity improvements. This package will provide headroom for growth in the short-term until further complementary substantial network upgrades are delivered in the City Centre.

(Note: The evidence regarding TEE user impacts and physical activity benefits impacts is quantified in B5: Economic Case of this form, with further detail in Appendix 3 and Appendix 4. The evidence regarding the package's development and GVA impacts are presented in Appendix 5 of this form.)

- d) Are there are any related activities that the success of this project relies upon? For example, land acquisition, other transport interventions requiring separate funding or consents?
 No, the planned project is entirely within the existing public highway.
- e) What will happen if funding for this project is not secured would an alternative (lower cost) solution be implemented (if yes, please describe this alternative and how it differs from the proposed project)?

If funding is not secured, the current congestion problems will continue to deteriorate.

f) What is the impact of the project – and any associated mitigation works – on any statutory environmental constraints? For example, Local Air Quality Management Zones.

The project aims to improve air quality in Worcester. Improving the efficiency of constrained junctions for smoother vehicle flow will reduce the amount of time traffic is spent in acceleration (high emission) mode. This will reduce emissions, particularly the locally high concentrations of nitrogen dioxide. Increases in flow volume may negatively offset this positive change, so the design will be optimised by appropriate assessment in traffic and air quality models. The investment to enhance active travel modes (cycling/walking) will strongly encourage mode shift away from road vehicles, allowing more zero-emission journeys to take place, therefore reducing NOx emissions in the AQMAs.

B3 : Please complete the following table. Figures should be entered in £000s (i.e. $\pounds 10,000 = 10$).

| £000s | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| DfT funding sought | £1,604.645 | £1,604.645 |
| Local Authority contribution | £687.705 | £687.705 |
| Third Party contribution | £0 | £0 |
| TOTAL | £2,292.350 | £2,292.350 |

Notes:

1) Department for Transport funding must not go beyond 2019-20 financial year.

2) Bidders are asked to consider making a local contribution to the total cost. It is indicated that this might be around 30%, although this is not mandatory.

B4 : Local Contribution & Third Party Funding : Please provide information on the following questions (max 100 words on items a and b):

a) Provide an outline of all non-DfT funding contributions to the project costs, the level of commitment, and when the contributions will become available.

Worcestershire County Council has developed a Worcestershire Network Efficiency Programme, which is contributing £1,375,410 towards this exciting project. This funding is secured, approved by Cabinet and available for use.

There is the potential for further funding to be secured through Section 106 contributions from the private sector for improvements in the City Centre. Any additional funding secured will be used to complement this proposed investment.

 b) List any other funding applications you have made for this project or variants thereof and the outcome of these applications, including any reasons for rejection.
 None

B5 Economic Case

This section should set out the range of impacts – both beneficial and adverse – of the project. The scope of information requested (and in the supporting annexes) will vary, including according to whether the application is for a small or large project.

A) Requirements for small project bids (i.e. DfT contribution of less than £5m)

- a) Please provide a description of your assessment of the impact of the project to include:
- Significant positive and negative impacts (quantified where possible) including in relation to air quality and CO₂ emissions.
- A description of the key risks and uncertainties;
- If any modelling has been used to forecast the impact of the project please set out the methods used to determine that it is fit for purpose

The Worcestershire City Centre Network Efficiency: Axis West-East scheme includes two key areas of investment:

- Improvements of junctions, namely the Dolday Gyratory, Sidbury/Commandery and St Johns to reduce congestion and provide additional capacity in the City Centre.
- Enhancement of public realm along with associated cycling and pedestrian infrastructure to encourage greater levels of active travel modes.

In response, the appraisal of the investment package includes the following benefits:

- A conservative assessment of transport user benefits for junction improvements was undertaken. This assessment only forecasts impacts on existing journeys based on local monitoring evidence for similar enhancements delivered recently in Worcester City Centre. The WebTAG assessment concludes that present value of benefits (PVB) for the junction improvements is approximately £19 million (in 2010 prices). Details of this assessment are outlined in Appendix 3.
- A conservative assessment of active mode benefits of public realm and associated cycling and pedestrian infrastructure improvements using DfT's Active Mode Appraisal Toolkit was also undertaken. This assessment forecasts the present value of benefits (PVB) of travel to work journeys only for the cycling and pedestrian improvements at approximately £7 million (in 2010 prices). Details of this assessment are outlined in Appendix 4.

Hence, a conservative estimate of the total monetised impacts of scheme is £26 million (PVB in 2010 prices). The present value of costs (PVC) of the package are estimated at £6.2 million (2010 prices). This estimate includes investment costs, replacement costs (for signals only), annual maintenance costs (for signals only) and optimism bias for all three elements of the costs. The derivation of PVC is outlined in greater detail in Appendix 6.

Comparing the scheme's conservative PVB against its PVC confirms that the benefit cost ratio (BCR) for a conservative central case is 4.2. This presents very high value for money for public sector investment.

Key sensitivities appraised and their impacts on the scheme's BCR are summarised below:

- Costs increase by 20%: under this sensitivity the scheme's BCR reduces to 3.5
- Delays in delivery such that benefits are reduced by 20%: under this sensitivity the scheme's BCR reduces to 3.4
- Costs increase by 20% and benefits are reduced by 20%: under this sensitivity the scheme's BCR reduces to 2.8.

Further details of the sensitivity analysis are presented in Appendix 6. It is worth noting that the sensitivity analysis continues to demonstrate that the scheme will deliver high value for money for public sector investment.

In addition to the core monetised impacts outlined above, the scheme will also deliver various other benefits. In particular, the reduced congestion with increase in active-travel modes will create additional capacity on Worcester's highway network. The capacity gains delivered by this investment package, along with other complementary measures within the wider City Centre area, will facilitate the delivery of the emerging City Centre Masterplan. The key focus of this emerging Masterplan is to maximise the City Centre's economic and market potential by intensifying development. WCC confirm that no further development can be delivered within the City Centre in the absence of any capacity improvements. Furthermore, the capacity gains delivered by this package will provide

headroom for growth in the short term until further complementary substantial network upgrades are delivered in the City Centre.

The gross direct development related impacts unlocked by the scheme are estimated as 195 FTE gross jobs, annual gross GVA in the region of £8.75 million per annum and 63 new homes. The details of scheme's development related impacts are presented in Appendix 5.

Other positive impacts delivered by the scheme include environmental impacts (Air Quality, emission reduction, landscape, townscape and historic environment), and social impacts (Health, accidents, security, access, commuting, affordability) as identified in the Appraisal Summary Table (Appendix 2).

* Small projects bids are not required to produce a Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) but may want to include this here if available.

a) Small project bidders should provide the following in annexes as supporting material:

| Has a Project Impacts Pro Forma been appended? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
|---|-------------|---------|-------|
| Has a description of data sources / forecasts been appe | ended? 🖂 Yo | es 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| Has an <i>Appraisal Summary Table</i> been appended? | 🖂 Yes | | □ N/A |

Other material supporting your assessment of the project described in this section should be appended to the bid.

* This list is not necessarily exhaustive and it is the responsibility of bidders to provide sufficient information to demonstrate the analysis supporting the economic case is fit-for-purpose.

B) Additional requirements for large project bids (i.e. DfT contribution of more than £5m)

- b) Please provide a short description (<u>max 500 words</u>) of your assessment of the <u>value for</u> <u>money</u> of the project including your estimate of the Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) to include:
- Significant monetised and non-monetised costs and benefits
- Description of the key risks and uncertainties and the impact these have on the BCR;
- Key assumptions including: appraisal period, forecast years, optimism bias applied; and
- Description of the modelling approach used to forecast the impact of the project and the checks that have been undertaken to determine that it is fit-for-purpose.

N/A

c) Additionally detailed evidence supporting your assessment, including the completed <u>Appraisal Summary Table</u>, should be attached as annexes to this bid. A checklist of material to be submitted in support of large project bids has been provided.

Has an Appraisal Summary Table been appended?

? 🗌 Yes

🛛 N/A

□ No

- Please append any additional supporting information (as set out in the Checklist). *It is the responsibility of bidders to provide sufficient information for DfT to undertake a full review of the analysis.

| B6 Economic Case: For all bids the following questions relating to desirable criteria should be answered. | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Please describe the air quality situation in the area where the project will be implemented by answering the three questions below. | | | |
| i) Has Defra's national air quality assessment, as reported to the EU Commission, identified and/or projected an exceedance in the area where the project will be implemented? | | | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | | | |
| ii) Is there one or more Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in the area where the project will be implemented? AQMAs must have been declared on or before the 31 March 2017 | | | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No | | | |
| iii) What is the project's impact on local air quality? | | | |
| Positive Neutral Negative | | | |
| The A44 corridor is the main arterial route through Worcester which is used by a high number of vehicles. Whilst easing congestion and increasing traffic flows, the scheme also aims to increase the number of pedestrians and cyclists. Many of the junctions have exceeded their design life and are no longer fit for purpose, which directly contributes towards excessive reliance on the car and consequent deterioration in local ambient air quality. The preferred option will smooth vehicle flow (positive impact), increase volume flow (negative impact) and support mode shift (positive impact). | | | |
| iv) Does the project promoter incentivise skills development through its supply chain? | | | |
| ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A | | | |
| Please supply further details: Worcestershire County Council's term contractors have clauses within their contracts which specifically promote and incentivise skills development, including innovative apprenticeship schemes. | | | |
| B7. Management Case - Delivery (Essential) | | | |
| Deliverability is one of the essential criteria for this Fund and as such any bid should set out, with a limit of 100 words for each of a) to b), any necessary statutory procedures that are needed before it can be constructed. | | | |
| a) A project plan (typically summarised in Gantt chart form) with milestones should be included, covering the period from submission of the bid to project completion. | | | |
| Has a project plan been appended to your bid? | | | |

| b) | If delivery of the project is dependent on land acquisition, please include a letter from the respective land owner(s) to demonstrate that arrangements are in place to secure the land to enable the authority to meet its construction milestones. | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Has a letter relating to land acquisition been appended? \Box Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A | | | | |
| c) | Please provide in Table C summary details of your construction milestones (at least one but no more than 6) between start and completion of works: | | | | |
| <u>Ta</u> | Table C: Construction milestones | | | | |
| | Estimated Date | | | | |
| Sta | art of works Oct 2017 | | | | |
| Fe | asibility design Nov 2017 | | | | |

Detailed designJun 2018ConstructionJan 2019Opening dateJan 2020

Completion of works (if different)

d) Please list any major transport projects costing over £5m in the last 5 years which the authority has delivered, including details of whether these were completed to time and budget (and if not, whether there were any mitigating circumstances)

As above

The following presents a portfolio of key transport projects successfully delivered by Worcestershire County Council in the last five years, all completed to time and budget:

Hoobrook Link Road in Kidderminster, Wyre Forest £15.41m. The link road is situated within South Kidderminster Enterprise Park which is identified in both the Greater Birmingham and Solihull and Worcestershire LEPs as being an important growth location. Existing congestion is viewed as a major barrier to economic growth in the immediate and wider area of Kidderminster. The Hoobrook Link Road, which crosses both the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal and River Stour, provides improved access and connectivity to two key employment corridors, and relieves congestion on the existing constrained routes.

Phase 3 of the A4440 Southern Link Road (Norton to Whittington) £16.6m. The scheme includes the reconfiguring of the Norton roundabout and dualling of the carriageway between Norton and Whittington roundabouts, as well as completing the stretch from the Ketch to Norton roundabouts.

Worcester Transport Strategy (Phase 1) Major Scheme (WTS) £17.95m. This scheme comprised a series of improvements to the network (walking, cycling, public transport and vehicular improvements) in and around the city of Worcester including improvements to key corridors into Worcester city centre and upgrading of the existing Ketch roundabout on the A4440 Broomhall Way (part of the Southern Link).

Evesham Abbey Bridge project £8.62m. Funded through the DfT Maintenance programme. This scheme comprised the replacement of Abbey Bridge over the River Avon along with the approach viaduct, and modification to the signalised junction (improved pedestrian phasing) to the south of the bridge.

B8. Management Case – Statutory Powers and Consents (Essential)

- a) Please list if applicable, each power / consent etc. <u>already obtained</u>, details of date acquired, challenge period (if applicable), date of expiry of powers and conditions attached to them. Any key dates should be referenced in your project plan.
 None required
- b) Please list if applicable any <u>outstanding</u> statutory powers / consents etc. including the timetable for obtaining them.
 Consultation: This will include frontage and internal stakeholders.
 Technical approvals: Will be carried out as part of the design process.

B9. Management Case – Governance (Essential)

Please name those who will be responsible for delivering the project, their roles (Project Manager, SRO etc.) and responsibilities, and how key decisions are/will be made. An organogram may be useful here.

Worcestershire County Council manage all projects through the Project Operating Model (POM) which is structured in accordance with PRINCE2. A diagram of the POM and the major Projects Governance Structure are given in Appendix 8. The diagrams clearly show the process for implementation and distinct roles of each tier of management structure. The specific project manager and SRO for this project are given below:

Project Manager: Nick Churchill Senior Responsible Officer: Nigel Hudson

| B10. Management Case - Risk Management (Essential) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| All projects will be expected to undertake a Quantified Risk Assessment (QRA) and a risk register should be included. Both should be proportionate to the nature and complexity of the project. A Risk Management Strategy should be developed that outlines how risks will be managed. | | | | |
| Please ensure that in the risk / QRA cost that you have not include ongoing operational costs and have used the P50 value. | ed any risks a | associated with | | |
| Has a QRA been appended to your bid? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | | |
| Has a Risk Management Strategy been appended to your bid? | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | | |
| Please provide evidence on the following points (where applicable each: a) What risk allowance has been applied to the project cost? £612,000 b) How will cost overruns be dealt with? Funded through Local Authority Funding c) What are the main risks to project timescales and what impact The Risk Management Strategy in Appendix 9B details the this project. The time related risks with estimated upper limit impacts a Risk 2: Insufficient design detail Impact: £50,000.00 Risk 13: Extent of Archaeology Impact: £150,000.00 Risk 14: Extent of site investigation/geotechnical/contamin Impact: £250,000.00 Risk 6: Time limited grant Impact: £250,000.00 Risk 16/17: Inaccurate budgeting and cost estimates exceed Impact: £150,000.00 | this will have top 10 risks are as follow | e on cost? attributable to | | |
| Risk 24: Part 1 claims Impact: £150,000.00 | | | | |

B11. Management Case - Stakeholder Management (Essential)

The bid should demonstrate that the key stakeholders and their interests have been identified and considered as appropriate. These could include other local authorities, the Highways England, statutory consultees, landowners, transport operators, local residents, utilities companies etc. This is particularly important in respect of any bids related to structures that may require support of Network Rail and, possibly, train operating companies.

a) Please provide a summary in no more than 100 words of your strategy for managing stakeholders, with details of the key stakeholders together with a brief analysis of their influences and interests.

As part of the recent refresh of the Worcestershire Local Transport Plan, Worcestershire County Council has engaged and developed its relationship with a wide range of statutory and non-statutory stakeholders.

| The County Council has a range of established processes and communications channels in place to ensure that key stakeholders are fully briefed and able to engage with the project development and delivery process. | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| b) Can the project be considered as controvers If yes, please provide a brief summary <u>in no</u> N/A | more than 100 words | | | | |
| c) Have there been any external campaigns eit | ner supporting or opposing the project? | | | | |
| 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | | | | | |
| lf yes, please provide a brief summary (in no N/A | more than 100 words) | | | | |
| d) For <u>large projects only</u> please also provide a Stakeholder Analysis and append this to your application. | | | | | |
| Has a Stakeholder Analysis been appended? | | | | | |
| e) For <u>large projects only</u> please provide a Communications Plan with details of the level of engagement required (depending on their interests and influence), and a description of how and by what means they will be engaged with. | | | | | |
| Has a Communications Plan been appended? | ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| B12. Management Case – Local MP support (| (Desirable) | | | | |
| e) Does this proposal have the support of the local MP(s); | | | | | |

| Name of MP(| (ร) | and | Constituency |
|-------------|----------|-----|---------------|
| | <u> </u> | unu | Contourcation |

1 Robin Walker, MP for Worcester 🛛 🖓 Yes 🗌 No

B13. Management Case - Assurance (Essential)

We will require Section 151 Officer confirmation (Section D) that adequate assurance systems are in place.

Additionally, for <u>large projects</u> please provide evidence of an integrated assurance and approval plan. This should include details of planned health checks or gateway reviews. **N/A**

SECTION C – Monitoring, Evaluation and Benefits Realisation

C2. Please set out, <u>in no more than 100 words</u>, how you plan to measure and report on the benefits of this project, alongside any other outcomes and impacts of the project. The Axis West-East project area benefits from a number of monitoring mechanisms, which will all be used to assess the impacts, outcomes and benefits achieved through investment in this project. The Worcester City highway network is comprehensively monitored by a modern Bluetooth traffic monitoring system. In addition, there are a number of fixed and scheduled temporary traffic count sites which operate within the study area which enable monitoring of multimodal traffic flow. Finally, data from upgraded dynamic MOVA traffic signal controls will be used to monitor continuously the efficiency of upgraded junctions for all modes.

A fuller evaluation for <u>large projects</u> may also be required depending on their size and type.

SECTION D: Declarations

D1. Senior Responsible Owner Declaration

As Senior Responsible Owner for Worcester City Centre Network Efficiency: Axis West East I hereby submit this request for approval to DfT on behalf of Worcestershire County Council and confirm that I have the necessary authority to do so.

I confirm that Worcestershire County Council will have all the necessary statutory powers in place to ensure the planned timescales in the application can be realised.

Name: Position:

NIGEL HUDSON

Signed:

HEAD OF STRATEGIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND ECONOMY

D2. Section 151 Officer Declaration

As Section 151 Officer for Worcestershire County Council I declare that the project cost estimates quoted in this bid are accurate to the best of my knowledge and that Worcestershire County Council

- has allocated sufficient budget to deliver this project on the basis of its proposed funding contribution
- accepts responsibility for meeting any costs over and above the DfT contribution requested, including potential cost overruns and the underwriting of any funding contributions expected from third parties
- accepts responsibility for meeting any ongoing revenue requirements in relation to the project
- accepts that no further increase in DfT funding will be considered beyond the maximum contribution requested and that no DfT funding will be provided for this bid in 2020/21.
- confirms that the authority has the necessary governance / assurance arrangements in place and, for smaller project bids, the authority can provide, if required, evidence of a stakeholder analysis and communications plan in place
- confirms that if required a procurement strategy for the project is in place, is legally compliant and is likely to achieve the best value for money outcome

Name: SUSAN ALEXANDER

| Signed: | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|-----|----|
| e.g.i.e. | m | Ale | xan | de |

HAVE YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING WITH YOUR BID?

| Combined Authority multiple bid ranking note (if applicable) | Yes | No | 🖂 N/A |
|--|-------|------|-------|
| Map showing location of the project and its wider context | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | 🗌 N/A |
| Combined Authority support letter (if applicable) | Yes | 🗌 No | 🕅 N/A |
| LEP support letter (if applicable) | 🛛 Yes | No | 🗌 N/A |
| Housebuilder / developer evidence letter (if applicable) | Yes | 🗌 No | 🖾 N/A |
| Land acquisition letter (if applicable) | Yes | 🗌 No | 🖂 N/A |
| Projects impact pro forma (must be a separate MS Excel) | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| Appraisal summary table | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | 🗌 N/A |
| Project plan/Gantt chart | 🛛 Yes | 🗌 No | 🗌 N/A |
| | | | |