

FAIR FUNDING CONSULTATION OUTCOMES 2008-11
KEY ISSUES ARISING FROM THE CONSULTATION

	QUESTION	SUMMARY OF RESPONSES	RECOMMENDED ACTION
Q1	<p>Do you support the proposal for local decision-making via the existing groups on the proposed deployment of the funding to support the costs of the KS4 diplomas?</p>	Supported in all sectors and overall.	To agree local decision making on the deployment of funding to support the costs of the KS4 diplomas.
Q2	<p>Do you support (please indicate your preference): -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the continuation of the existing national model for allocating SDG; or • the development of a new SDG local formula model from April 2008 for the next multi year budget period? <p>If you support a new model please comment on how you would like to see it distributed.</p>	Support for the existing model in all sectors except MDP (no response) and Special (equal support for existing and a new model). The continuation of the existing model supported overall.	To continue with the existing national model for allocating SDG for 2008-11.
Q3	<p>Do you support the conclusions from the social deprivation review as reported to the WSF?</p>	Supported in all sectors except MDS. Supported overall.	None.
Q4	<p>Do you wish to see additional formula factors relating to deprivation introduced relating to ACORN data and SOA's subject to relevant DSG headroom being available?</p>	Supported in all sectors and overall.	See action for question 5.
Q5	<p>Do you support, if there is additional DSG for deprivation as described or if DCSF prescription is introduced, the targeting of additional social deprivation resources via (please indicate preference): -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • existing or • new formula factors? <p>If you indicate new formula factors what would you support e.g.</p>	<p>The use of new factors supported in all sectors apart from the primary sector.</p> <p>The use of new factors supported overall.</p> <p>More support, in all sectors, for the use</p>	To introduce a new formula factor to support funding for social deprivation, subject to headroom availability, based on ACORN data.

	ACORN, SOA's, etc?	of ACORN data compared to SOA's.	
Q6	Which of options F1, F2 or F3 do you support in varying the non-AWPU data within the local funding formula for the next multi year budget period 2008-11 commencing April 2008? (please indicate preference)	Option F1 supported in all sectors except MDS and supported overall.	To continue with option F1 (the current methodology within 2006-08) for non-AWPU data changes during 2008-11.
Q7	Do you support the potential development of a formula factor for LAC during the next multi year budget period?	Supported in all sectors and overall.	To develop and introduce a formula factor, subject to headroom availability, to support LAC on the timescales as detailed in the consultation document.
Q8	Do you support, subject to budget availability and any prescribed conditions, consideration being given to supporting the increasing costs of EAL issues during the next multi year budget period? If so, by which means e.g. via support centres, delegated budget, etc?	Supported in all sectors except MDS (no preference). Supported overall. Support for funding to be from both via centrally retained support centres and allocations within the delegated budget.	To develop and introduce support for EAL, subject to headroom availability, on the timescales as detailed in the consultation document. To consider, subject to headroom availability, both central and delegated support.
Q9	Do you agree, for the Management of Surplus Balances Scheme, with the re-distribution methodology proposed for any uncommitted surplus balances from 2008/09?	Supported in all sectors except MDS (no preference) and secondary (not supported). Supported overall.	To introduce, from 2008/09, the changes to the existing Management of Surplus Balances Scheme for the re-distribution of uncommitted balances as detailed in the consultation document.

Q10	Are there other areas of centrally retained services that should be considered for delegation?	No specific areas identified. Not supported overall.	None.
Q11	Do you support the proposal to offer multi year sign up for delegated services?	Supported in all sectors except in MDP and MDS (no preference). Supported overall.	To allow the LA to request schools to indicate their buy back intentions for 2008-11 prior to start of the period. To introduce a mechanism for schools to change their indicated sign up at appropriate times during the period.
Q12	Do you support the areas listed as possible further buy back services during the next multi year budget period?	Not supported in primary, MDP and MDS but supported in secondary. No preference in special sector. Supported overall.	To agree for those services listed in the consultation document to develop services for buy-back in line with the proposed timescales detailed.
Q13	Are there any other service areas you would like to see offering a buy back option?	Not supported in any sector and overall.	None.