

**FAIR FUNDING CONSULTATION OUTCOMES 2008-11**

**APPENDIX 1**

		Nursery		Primary		MDP		MDS		Sec/High		Special		Total	
	Number of Schools	1		179		5		17		29		10		241	
	Number of Responses	0		20		1		2		9		4		36	
	Percentage	%		%		%		%		%		%		%	
		0		11		20		12		31		40		15	
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Q1	Do you support the proposal for local decision-making via the existing groups on the proposed deployment of the funding to support the costs of the KS4 diplomas?			11	1	1		1		8	1	3		24	2
Q2	Do you support (please indicate your preference): - the continuation of the existing national model for allocating SDG; or			12				1		7		2		22	0
	the development of a new SDG local formula model from April 2008 for the next multi year budget period?			6				1		2		2		11	
Q3	Do you support the conclusions from the social deprivation review as reported to the WSF?			16	1	1		1	1	9		4		31	2
Q4	Do you wish to see additional formula factors relating to deprivation introduced relating to ACORN data and SOA's subject to relevant DSG headroom being available?			10	6	1		2		7	2	3		23	8
Q5	Do you support, if there is additional DSG for deprivation as described or if DCSF prescription is introduced, the targeting of additional social deprivation resources via (please indicate preference): - existing or			11						1		1		13	
	new formula factors?			7		1		2		8		3		21	

--

		Nursery		Primary		MDP		MDS		Sec/High		Special		Total	
	Number of Schools	1		180		4		17		29		10		<b>241</b>	
	Number of Responses	0		20		1		2		9		4		<b>36</b>	
	Percentage	%		%		%		%		%		%		<b>%</b>	
		0		11		25		12		31		40		<b>15</b>	
		Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Q6	Which of options F1, F2 or F3 do you support in varying the <u>non-AWPU data</u> within the local funding formula for the next multi year budget period 2008-11 commencing April 2008? (please indicate preference): -														
	F1			7		1		1		4		2		<b>15</b>	
	F2			5						3		1		<b>9</b>	
	F3			3				1		3				<b>7</b>	
Q7	Do you support the potential development of a formula factor for LAC during the next multi year budget period?			15	4	1		2		8	1	4		<b>30</b>	<b>5</b>
Q8	Do you support, subject to budget availability and any prescribed conditions, consideration being given to supporting the increasing costs of EAL issues during the next multi year budget period?			16	2	1		1	1	7	1	4		<b>29</b>	<b>4</b>
Q9	Do you agree, for the Management of Surplus Balances Scheme, with the re-distribution methodology proposed for any uncommitted surplus balances from 2008/09?			13	5	1		1	1	3	6	3	1	<b>21</b>	<b>13</b>
Q10	Are there other areas of centrally retained services that should be considered for delegation?			1	17			1	0	2	5		4	<b>3</b>	<b>27</b>
Q11	Do you support the proposal to offer multi year sign up for delegated services?			10	7			1	1	1	5	4	4	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>
Q12	Do you support the areas listed as possible further buy back services during the next multi year budget period?			9	10			1		2	8	1	2	<b>19</b>	<b>16</b>
Q13	Are there any other service areas you would like to see offering a buy back option?			1	18			1		1		7		<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>

Note Schools did not, in some cases, answer all the questions

Response rate 2005

25%

Response rate 2006

25%

Cabinet - 20 December 2007

Page No.

	Nursery	Primary	MDP	MDS	High	Special	Total
Q5 New Social Deprivation Factors Indicated Preferences							
ACORN		6		2	4	2	14
Super Output Areas		3		1	3	1	8
Other						1	1
Q8 EAL Support Indicated Preferences							
Support Centres		7	1	1	4	2	15
Delegated Budget		11		1	4	2	18
Other					1		1

Questions 8 and 11 also supported by Teacher Associations. They were also in agreement for area based support centres for EAL.